Quantification of Corona Discharges on Nonceramic Insulators

B. Pinnangudi, R. S. Gorur
Department of Electrical Engineering
Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA

and A. J. Kroese
Salt River Project, Phoenix, AZ, USA

ABSTRACT
This paper attempts to establish a correlation of the visual images of corona obtained from a camera with discharge magnitude measured with conventional partial discharge equipment. A linear relationship is shown between the transformed image parameters and the discharge magnitude, thereby providing a means for quantifying corona observations made during routine maintenance inspections of insulators from ground. Different insulator designs using silicone rubber (SIR) and ethylene propylene diamene monomer (EPDM) housings were examined. The effect of fog has been examined by performing experiments inside a fog chamber. It is shown that this information can be used along with the corona degradation characteristics of housing materials to identify discharge patterns that can pose problems to the integrity of the insulator.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Corona discharges can be a significant threat to the integrity of nonceramic insulators (NCI) due to the organic nature of the housing material [1]. For transmission class insulators (69 kV and above), corona can be a problem not only in contaminated but in clean environments as well. From worldwide experience it has been identified that a majority of NCI applications are under relatively clean or lightly contaminated conditions. On NCI, corona can be present locally for long periods of time due to inadequate hardware design, damaged hardware, deficient interfaces due to improper design and/or manufacturing. Field inspections on 230 kV and 500 kV insulators confirm the existence of corona even under relatively dry and clean conditions [2].

In practical high-voltage systems it is difficult to avoid corona in the field, especially under wet and contaminated conditions [3, 4]. Hence, knowledge of the corona discharge magnitude and damage threshold of the housing material is essential. Corona is responsible for multiple effects such as mechanical, due to the impingement of charged particles, ultraviolet (UV) radiation, and liberation of ozone. Highly oxidizing and hydrated species of nitrogen oxides are generated which on dissolving in moisture leads to the formation of acids [5, 6]. Figure 1 shows two pictures of the damage caused by corona on NCI during service. Figure 1a is obviously an advanced stage of degradation while Figure 1b shows degradation that could still be considered minor. Any cracks in the housing can expose the fiberglass core to moisture. The exposed rod can fail by tracking, erosion or brittle fracture [7–9], leading to premature failure. Hence, there is a necessity to periodically inspect NCI and replace degraded insulators in a timely manner.

The methods currently used by the utilities to inspect in-service NCI are,

- Acoustic emission,
- Radio interference voltage (RIV) measurement,
- Infra-red (IR) thermography,
- Electric-field measurement,
- Visual observation,
- Daytime corona detection with UV camera.

Both, acoustic emission and radio interference voltage measurement methods are sensitive to background noise. They cannot be used to determine the precise location of the discharge on the insulator. It is a significant drawback as discharges on insulator hardware cannot always be avoided and may not need immediate replacement, while discharges on housing can be a harbinger to serious problems in future.
Determination of surface temperature by IR thermography has yielded partial success. However, this method cannot be used to detect small defects that are incapable of causing a significant change in the surface temperature. The reliability of IR thermography is also reduced when performed under hot and sunny conditions [10]. Electric field measurement along the insulator has been more successfully employed for ceramic than nonceramic insulators due to inherent differences in the construction of these insulators. Also it has been shown that the method can yield erroneous results in the presence of moisture [10].

For all the above reasons visual observation by knowledgeable personnel either from ground or helicopters still remains the most effective method for NCI inspection. Special UV cameras to detect corona in daytime are increasingly being used by the utilities for periodic inspections. These cameras can provide information on the exact location of corona. Due to the high sensitivity of these cameras, it is common that corona is seen on insulators quite frequently. But because there is no information on the magnitude of the discharge it is difficult to base any decisions on insulator maintenance or replacement on just visual observations. This paper attempts to quantify these corona images with the hope that this would provide a better basis for taking corrective actions.

There are essentially two different manufacturers of corona cameras at the present time. The experiments and field inspections reported in this paper correspond to two different models from the same manufacturer (COROCAM 2 and COROCAM 3 [11]). While future generations of such cameras will undoubtedly incorporate a number of refinements, it is expected that the procedure described in this paper for establishing the correlation between the visual images and discharge magnitude will still be applicable.

## 2 PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION OF CORONA CAMERA

Visual observation of corona with the unaided eye is difficult because corona emits weak radiation, mostly in the ultra-violet (UV) spectral range, and is therefore invisible. A typical spectral distribution of corona from a point-plane electrode configuration is shown in Figure 2. The experiment was performed in the laboratory using a Raman spectrometer (Acton SP-275, 1200 g/mm grating, Princeton charge coupled detector (CCD), 8 cm$^{-1}$/pixel resolution). The corona source was a high voltage needle at a distance of 5 cm from the plane electrode. The entire arrangement was 1 m away from the CCD.

It can be seen from Figure 2 that corona discharges in air emit light mainly in the 230 – 405 nm range. The most
intense emissions are around 300 – 360 nm. The solar radiation in the 300 – 360 nm region is much stronger than the corona thus masking the corona signals. In the “solar-blind” region (240–280 nm), the corona emission is much weaker. However, the solar background is negligible. It is these signals generated by corona in the solar-blind region that are used by the cameras to detect corona.

The camera detects weak corona signals by overlaying a UV video image of the corona on a visible video image of the background. The solar blindness is achieved by using a special filter that is transparent in the solar blind UV band and is completely opaque to the solar radiation. A sophisticated lens and filter system is used to project the UV light emitted by corona discharges onto an optoelectronic device, which is then amplified and transferred to a CCD detector that generates the image.

A special filter that transmits light between 240–280 nm and completely blocks longer wavelengths is combined with photon-counting intensified charge coupled devices (CCD) so that it responds only to the corona emission spectrum corresponding to the solar blind region. The optical spectrum transmits the same scene to the visible-light camera enabling it to present a view of the source of discharge in perfect registration, without parallax.

3 IMAGE ANALYSIS AND PROCESSING

The image captured is stored in a computer as a matrix of pixels in a JPG file. This image requires a number of processing and noise removal steps to facilitate mathematical operations and quantitative analysis [12]. The JPG file represents the light intensity levels at different locations of the image matrix. A 240 × 640 image matrix was used for the purposes of the present study. The image matrix dimensions can be selected by changing the resolution settings.

The captured images are associated with inaccuracies caused by spurious light signals from the background and microscopic dust particles surrounding the sample. This image needs to be processed to render it free of noise. The flowchart for image processing of corona image is illustrated in Figure 3.

By using a MATLAB program, the pixel intensities (represented by the elements of the image matrix) are summed up to obtain the cumulative intensity [13]. The maximum pixel intensity level \( I_m \) of the background image is determined. In the output corona image, pixels that have intensities higher than \( I_m \) are considered illuminated (pixels that have intensities higher than \( I_m \) are considered ‘1’ or high, and otherwise ‘0’ or low). The number of illuminated pixels is a measure of the illuminated pixel area. The cumulative intensity and the illuminated pixel area are referred to as transformed image parameters in the subsequent sections of the paper.

Corona pixel identification and filtering is achieved by determining the places in the corona image where there is an abrupt change in the intensity level, using either one of the criteria suggested below,

- The first derivative of the intensity is larger in magnitude than some predefined threshold,

- The second derivative of the intensity has a zero crossing.

To improve the accuracy of the technique, two different thresholds are used; one to detect pixels corresponding to region of strong discharges and the other to detect pixels corresponding to regions of weak discharges. The weak corona pixels should typically be connected to strong corona pixels to be accounted for as an illuminated corona pixel [14]. Hence the suggested technique is less sensitive
to noise unlike traditional PD techniques and can effectively identify weak corona pixels. Two methods were adopted to distinguish the corona from the noise pixels.

### 3.1 BACKGROUND SUBTRACTION TECHNIQUE

Two images are captured, one of which is of the region of discharge while the other is the image of a region close to the region of discharge. On subtracting the individual pixel intensities of the two images, the spurious light signals are cancelled. The retained pixel intensities are only that of the corona discharges.

### 3.2 BACKGROUND ANALYSIS TECHNIQUE

Both the accuracy and robustness of the method are improved by observing the background image and the corona image at the same location of interest on the insulator. \( I_m \) is determined from the captured background image. Here, the background image implies the image of the insulator with no corona. In the final image (corona + background), the pixels with intensity magnitudes less than \( I_m \) are filtered out.

As the noise level approaches the corona intensity it is more difficult to filter out the noise. Hence it is suggested to avoid exposing the camera to bright light while measurement. The lesser the ambient light, the more effective and accurate is the suggested technique.

### 3.3 PARAMETER EXTRACTION

#### 3.3.1 RADIAL EXTENT, \( R \)

This is calculated by finding the light emission pixel \((x_i, y_i)\) in the processed image array that is farthest from the center of discharge \((x_c, y_c)\)

\[
r = \sqrt{\left( (x_i - x_c)^2 + (y_i - y_c)^2 \right)}
\]

#### 3.3.2 AREA GROWTH, \( A \)

The area is calculated by calculating all the pixels that are part of the corona discharge or light emission spectrum. The area is therefore obtained by multiplying the area of a single pixel with the number of illuminated pixels.

#### 3.3.3 LIGHT INTENSITY, \( I \)

Light intensity is calculated by performing a weighted sum of all the pixels that are part of the light emission spectrum. The pixel intensity is measured relative to the base noise level.

\[
I = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} I_{\text{pixel}} - I_{\text{base}}}{N}
\]

where \( N \) is the number of pixels in the region of interest [15].

### 4 EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experimental setup used in the present study is illustrated in Fig. 4. The high-frequency corona pulses are picked up by the coupling quadripole (CQ) and routed to the detector (HAEFELY partial discharge detector type 561, sensitivity 1 pC). The detector can be used either in the straightforward method or in a bridge circuit. The apparent charge is measured in the detector by quasi-integration in pre-selectable frequency ranges.

A 115 kV rated silicone rubber insulator and an EPDM insulator removed from the field were chosen for the initial experiments. The silicone rubber and the EPDM insulator designs are shown schematically in Figures 5a and 5b, respectively. The silicone rubber insulator chosen for the experiment had an unusually rough line end fitting. The hardware also displayed burn marks caused by lightning events. The voltage applied was increased gradually.

![Figure 4. Experimental test Setup.](image)
to the rated voltage in steps of 5 kV. The corona images and their corresponding PD magnitudes were recorded simultaneously. For convenience, the distance between the point of interest and the camera was selected to be 5 m.

Any increase in the voltage from the inception value resulted in higher discharge magnitudes measured by PD detector, as shown in Figures 6 and 7. By using the proposed technique the intensity plots were constructed and cumulative intensities were determined. The cumulative intensity values were approximately zero and 150 arbitrary units in the transformed parameter scale corresponding to 30 pC and 2 nC discharge magnitudes respectively. The increase in discharge magnitude is manifested as an increase in the transformed parameter values [16–18]. It is interesting to note that corona was observed even below the normal operating voltage, and this is attributed to the hardware that was weathered during field use.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

By changing the voltage in steps of 5 kV, the corona discharge magnitude was varied and the corresponding transformed parameter values were determined. Figures 8 and 9 show the results of the transformed parameters as a function of the PD discharge magnitudes. The discharge magnitude associated with corona can be seen to be proportional to the intensity of the luminous discharge and the discharge area.

To study the effects of the housing material on the suggested technique, the above experiments were replicated on an EPDM insulator whose design is shown schematically in Figure 5b. Figures 10 and 11 are corona images.
and their corresponding intensity plots for this EPDM insulator. The cumulative intensities were found to be 12 and 140 units in the transformed parameter scale. The discharge magnitudes corresponding to the transformed parameter values were found to be 0.1 nC and 1.85 nC by using Figures 8 and 9. The PD measurements corresponding to corona observed in Figures 10 and 11 with the PD detector were 0.2 nC and 1.9 nC. The calculated values from the proposed technique hence matched well with the discharge magnitudes measured by the PD detector. It is interesting to note the occurrence of corona at the interface between the individually jointed sheds under wet conditions even for a new insulator. Figure 12 is a three dimensional representation of the plot shown in Figure 11b, from which it is easier to visualize the location of corona discharge on the insulator.

There are several regions in a non-ceramic insulator that serve as preferential locations for corona discharges. These are:

- The hardware of the insulator even under dry conditions in the absence of a grading ring, as shown in Figure 13a.
- The hardware and the hydrophobic housing material, especially in the presence of water droplets. This is shown in Figures 13b and 13c.
Figure 12. Three-dimensional representation of the intensity distribution for corona in Figure 11b.

- Interfaces created by joining individual sheds (Figure 5) especially in the presence of water droplets. This is shown in Figure 13d.
- The shank portion between high voltage end fitting and first shed especially under wet conditions, as shown in Figure 13e.

The cumulative intensities were calculated by the proposed method for each of the corona images depicted in Figure 13. The cumulative intensity and area plot for the different images is shown in Figure 14. The discharge magnitudes corresponding to these cumulative intensities matched closely the PD magnitudes indicated by the PD detector.

Knowledge of the discharge location is important. Discharges that occur on the hardware and/or far from the housing material are less damaging when compared to discharges that occur on or in close proximity to the housing. The ratio of the cumulative intensity to the area of the illuminated pixels is a measure of the corona discharge density and could be a good predictor of degradation. On visual observation of Figure 13 and from Figures 14a and 14b, it might appear that the corona corresponding to Figures 13d and 13e have no significant damaging effect when compared to the corona corresponding to the image in Figure 13a. However, Figure 14c indicates otherwise.

5.1 EFFECT OF DISTANCE

The pixel intensities and illuminated pixel area in the corona image were found to diminish with increasing distance between the camera and the insulator under observation for the same magnitude of discharge. To account for the distance factor, the insulator was subjected to a constant discharge magnitude and the discharge image was captured at different distances of the camera from the point of interest. Due to the size of the laboratory a correlation was established between the transformed image parameters and distance up to about 10 m. The curve is extrapolated to determine the correlation between the transformed image parameters and distances beyond 10
m. The equation of the fitted curve for the data points up to 10 m was used for extrapolation up to 50 m. Figure 15 shows the plot of pixel intensity as a function of distance for different PD magnitudes. It can be seen that as we move farther away from the insulator the discharge magnitude threshold for detection increases.

5.2 EFFECT OF FOG

Field inspections of corona can be hampered by foggy conditions. Due to scattering and absorption of light a reduced percentage of the transmitted light reaches the receiver under foggy conditions. All data reported in this paper thus far pertain to observations in an air-conditioned laboratory which has a 40% relative humidity level. The effect of fog was studied by subjecting the insulator to varying levels of fog inside an enclosed chamber. The fog density was characterized in terms of the relative humidity within the chamber.

For the same discharge magnitude, the number of illuminated pixels and hence the corresponding cumulative pixel intensity and illuminated pixel area were reduced with increasing fog density. Depending on the fog density, there is a discharge magnitude below which corona cannot be observed. Below the cut-off point a linear relationship is obtained between the pixel intensity (and pixel area) and discharge magnitude, as shown in Figure 16.

5.3 APPLICATION TO FIELD INSPECTIONS

Figures 17 and 18 show images of corona obtained from field inspection performed twilight conditions in October when the relative humidity was about 40%. The distance between the corona camera and the region of discharge was estimated to be 50 m. Figure 17 represents corona on a 230 kV first generation EPDM insulator design with individually jointed sheds (Figure 5b), used in earlier experiments for this paper. This insulator was installed more than 20 years back without corona rings, as the need for corona rings was not discovered at that time. Figure 18 shows a 500 kV line post insulator used as a jumper in a substation. The presence of the bundled conductor and the large size of the line end hardware were thought to provide adequate electric stress grading, hence no additional rings were installed. The corresponding processed image and intensity plots are also shown in these figures.

The cumulative intensity was determined to be 55 and 37 (Arbitrary Units) for Figures 17 and 18, respectively. The corona discharges seen in Figure 17 can be more...
Figure 17. Quantification of corona discharges observed in the field using the proposed method (I).

Figure 18. Quantification of corona discharges observed in the field using the proposed method (II).
harmful than the discharges observed in Figure 18 due to its proximity to the housing material and the effective magnitude of discharges that terminate on the shed. From Figures 15 and 16, it is determined that the images corresponded to partial discharge magnitudes of 3 and 2 nC, respectively.

Knowing the corona discharge magnitude is part of the problem. The other part is to determine the corona degradation characteristics of different housing materials. In a recent paper this aspect was explored and the time for manifestation of damage from corona was determined for several NCI housing materials [19]. Since this aspect is dependent on the formulation it is important to have this data in order to determine if the observed corona during field inspection is harmful to the insulator.

Users need to create a database listing the discharge magnitude (or density) for every inspection. This will help establish if there are particular designs and field locations where corona can be more problematic than for others. Appropriate maintenance can therefore be performed in a timely manner.

6 CONCLUSIONS

1. The images provided by the corona-sensitive camera can be translated into quantifiable parameters.
2. There is good correlation between the visual image and the corona discharge magnitude.
3. The effect of distance and foggy conditions on the visual image have been investigated.
4. The results obtained have been applied to field inspection of NCI.
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